Built forms and underlying geometries in 20thcentury architecture: Muuratsalo House and Leicester Engineering **Department Building**

Maria Grazia Cianci*, Dipartimento di Architettura, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, It y mariagrazia.cianci@uniroma3.it

Daniele Calisi, Dipartimento di Architettura, Università degli Studi Roma Tre - daniele.cali <u>vun.</u> Stefano Botta, Dipartimento di Architettura, Università degli Studi Roma Tre - stefa mottale. iroma3.it Sara Colaceci, Dipartimento di Architettura, Università degli Studi Roma Tre - sa ...colaceci@un. ma3.it Sagrario Fernandez Raga, Universidad de Valladolid - s.f.raga@a Carlos Rodriguez Fernandez, Universidad de Valladolid - carlos.rodr z.fernandez/ va.es

Michela Schiaroli, Dipartimento di Architettura, Università degli Steli Ron.

michela.schiaroli@uniroma3.it

Abstract

1

2

3

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

35 36

37 38

39 40

4

17 By starting from the notions of rhythm, rule, and the analogy between whit sture and 18 music, the research focuses on the analysis and the representation f arc. ecture .d, 19 in particular, of facades by comparing two case studies: Muuratsal House y Alvar 20 Aalto (1952-53) and the Leicester Engineering Department vilding to James Stirling 21 and James Gowan (1959-63). The methodology is based on the arison among 22 some specific facade categories: texture, basic compositional signs (connection to the 23 ground, windows, connection to the sky), and gemetries. The operating methodology 24 follows these phases: i) the analysis of roject any vings and photographic 25 documentation; ii) two-dimensional reconstruction in C D of plans, fronts, and sections; iii) NURBS modeling. By any jzing Muura'sale rouse's facades through 2D 26 representations and 3D models, the reserved highlights the proportional and 27 compositional relationship between wans and or nings as a leading principle. The 28 29 study on the patio's fronts she is how this assortation gets more complex because the textures, rhythm, and georetry of the materials become the main elements in the 30 compositional grammar of the nouse. In the case of the Leicester Engineering 31 Department, the mode all vs the order standing of the general volumetric articulation. 32 33 The whole configuration a characterized by the juxtaposition of multiple volumes, 34 which impacts the verse, whose openings direct rhythms, textures, and geometries.

Keywor s: texty e, geon. try, Leicester, Muuratsalo House, 3D models

1. In oduction

From the uninging of the utopian and rigid visions of modernity, the architectural image of the post-war period res a multiple and uncertain fluidity, made of fragments of visual languages that blend into each other, defining nla cally continuous universe" [1], full of dissonances and alliteration. A new architectural ethics develops, trying to accord with the social dimension, which has become increasingly predominant with the advent of the new 42 illennium.

44 Though articulated and diversified, facades are built based on elements that find their individuality within more 45 extensive and general categories characterized by similar meanings (such as windows, walls, roofing, etc.). The 46 hypothesis of the existence of archetypical classes in architectural composition has often been a subject of investigation 47 over time, starting from the Vitruvian primitive hut, whose main points were subsequently reworked by Semper and 48 Shinkel [2]. Regardless of necessary variations, this research can also be found in traditions far from the Western ones, 49 such as in the Japanese culture, in which every architectural sign, from the roof to the floor, is filled with both spiritual

50 and functional meanings and whose narratives were shared by architects such as Wright and Mies van der Rohe [3]. 51 These primitive elements are comparable to the plurisituational comunsegni of a language. A comunsegno is a sign that 52 has a shared sense to a given set of interpreters. A plurisituational sign retains its intrinsic meaning regardless of the 53 situation. The signs of a language are connoted by both properties [8].

54 As it happens in drawing, the very compositional operation of the facade is, therefore, the manifestation of a 55 language: it takes the form of a system of interconnections of signs endowed with a relatively shared and constant 56 meaning, regardless of their specific representation. These signs are related to each other by establishing a rhythm with 57 a rule defining it. Considering architectural orders, they are the best example of rules and rhythm manifesting in 58 architecture. Regarding this, Riccardo Migliari believes the definition of the old academic manuals on the class ar 59 order as «tautological and reductive» as «the entirety of ornaments used in architecture and defining decoration s tho. 60 highlights or polishing used to embellish manufactories». According to him, the best way to define an other is to it, but to do so, it is necessary to contextualize what he means by "drawing" of the classical order. The "drawing" 61 62 the facade is, therefore, «the representation, in the front view and in the plan, where necessary, of the a hitecture .] 63 and of its compositional rule», but it is also the interpretation of this rule, i.e., the reading of the angender .ach 64 part on the other and the measurement of the dimensional relationships of the various parts, and measurement of the draft of a 65 general rule which is not a rule, but rather a weft on which design is based, understood as t has been said [].

Rhythm and rules are the basis of music; in fact, for centuries, music and architecture here the subject of fairly 66 67 in-depth parallelisms by scholars and artists of every field. Primarily, they share the lity of being onfigurations of 68 elements in more complex systems: on one side, sounds (waves) over time; on the other, masses among space, which 69 produce multiple sensory stimuli and develop functional and semiotic values. Both composed of 70 signs suitably juxtaposed according to specific rules and sensitivities, plowing operations (sometimes conscious, sometimes arbitrary) to carry out work with several communicative values [5]. What is notation of a music sheet gives to the flow of a piece can also be found in the design choices of a factle, where materials, shapes, and lights generate 71 72 pauses, modify rhythms, and bind spaces. There is also a similar s bject in of the two fields to the use of proportions and harmonic progressions, as Boullée argues in contrast to Pe ault. It we ver, this tension can be thought or 73 74 75 unconscious, which has more marked effects on hearing in sight [6]. «I found one of my writings among some others», said Goethe, «in which I call architecture "petrified muse" here is really something about it; the sensation 76 77 produced by the architecture approaches the effect of music» [7] (fig. r).



Fig. 1 – The Babel Tower, as represented by Bruegel in its dizzying masonry rhythms, layered in continuous

2

81 82 83

84

evolution. Highlighted in red, the spiraling dynamic of the architecture and its fast and varied rhythm recall the characteristics of a melody. Source: painting by Pieter Bruegel, Babele Tower, 1563.

2. Methods

Starting from the concepts of rhythm and rules and referring to the analogy between music and architecture, the
research tries to conduct a method of analysis and representation of architecture and, in particular, facades through two
case studies: Muuratsalo House by Alvar Aalto (1952-53) and Leicester Engineering Department Building by James
Stirling and James Gowan (1959-63) (figs. 2-3). These architectures were chosen because they differ in typologic and
uses, although both exploit materials on the facade to define textures and compositional rhythms.

90 The Experimental House (1952-54) was the self-designed atelier and summer home of Elissa and Ala ^Ito. 91 was inspired by the idea of an ancient Roman atrium. It is located on the rocky summit of the island of Muura, lo 92 (hence the name of the villa) in the middle of Lake Päijänne. The construction of Muuratsalo was ponceived a a 93 synthesis between an architect's private studio and an experimental center, where it is also possible exacting problems 94 that are not yet mature and where the closeness to nature induces reflections on both forms and tech. Jues. Jouse 95 develops according to the specific needs of the internal spaces and looks for a stronger bor oeween the living habitat and the natural context outside. It is possible to define the character of the architectural deails as the Nordic 96 97 environment. In its experimental intentions, this building differs from traditional construct. In term of shape, scale, 98 and type of materials. The elevations towards the enclosed patio have been divided no. 9 different as to experiment 99 with various terracotta and ceramics, modulations, brick sizes, and specific tree ments,



10()1

10.

103

Fig. 2 – Plan, sections, and front views elaborated for Muuratsalo House by Alvar Aalto. Source: images created by the authors.

In 1957, when University College was granted its Royal Charter and became the University of Leicester, plans for new campus buildings included an engineering building on a site near Victoria Park. The university commissioned the architects James Stirling and James Gowan for the works, and the building was completed in 1963 on the University of Leicester campus in England. Its construction was relegated to a place that was not particularly visible, an unwanted corner, and this, rather than an inconvenience, has been a stimulus, a challenge to overcome the characterless buildings

ISSN 2421-4574 (ONLINE)

Pesaro court registration number 3/2015

109 of the neighborhood. The engineers wanted a water tank for the plumbing laboratory on the ground floor; therefore, to 110 create the necessary pressure, the tank was placed on top of the tower, which had to be at least thirty meters high.



111 112

114 115

116 117

11(

119

121 122

1

Fig. 3 – Plass and from views of the Leicester Engineering Department Building by Stirling e Gowan. Source: images created by the authors.

Two conjoined towers containing laboratories and offices, whose design is inspired by the superstructure of an ircraft cavier, are placed on top of the two cantilevered classrooms. The designed classrooms protrude at right angles, and the ower also hosts laboratories and offices. The ground floor buildings have a distinctive angled roof to allow norther a light in - similar to factory roofs - and contain workshops and laboratories. The design of this roof is unique, made or two types of glass: translucent multi-layered glass with an inner layer of fiberglass and aluminum-coated paque glass. The distinction between the two only becomes visible at night when the building is illuminated. The rippling "waves" of the two large glazed roofs, angled at 45 degrees to the towers, face north to provide illumination without direct sunlight (which could affect delicate instruments).

Architects James Gowan and James Stirling, plus engineer Frank Newby, created a unique piece of modern architecture designed around the specific needs of the Engineering Department and available campus angle.

125 The investigation methodology focuses on the comparison of some categories of the facade: texture, geometries, 126 and compositional elements of the facade (connection to the ground, windows, and connection to the sky). The

ISSN 2421-4574 (ONLINE)

127 relationship between the two case studies exploits these essential categories of the architectural language to identify 128 how they act specifically on the progression of the facade, using the architectural comunsegni as the basis for 129 comparison. In this sense, it is possible to hypothesize interpretations and comparisons between facades with highly 130 different connotations, relating their individual signs to specific shared contents, regardless of their individual 131 manifestation [8]. The metamorphosis of the project lies in the variable character of the basic signs of the architecture, 132 which possess a "range of oscillation" within which to change, even significantly. Therefore, the individual 133 manifestation of the same sign can totally alter the meaning of a facade, add plasticity, impose shadows, accelerate or 134 interrupt its rhythm. Precisely this last concept has always been one of the most frequent properties of the composition. 135 From the colonnade to the curtain wall, the repetition of modules is a process that unifies a system of individuals un 136 a homogeneous meaning. Especially in contemporary languages, the seriality of the elements that mark the transport 137 front is often interposed with individualistic and autonomous signs that vary their course, rebelling against the ther 138 components and creating effective compositional contrasts [1]. This is even more significant when the experience of 139 architecture comes into play through the movement around and within it. The dynamics of the space continuou ly 140 varying, generate new images conveyed by the accordance of the signs of the building, to the post of the signs the 141 surfaces almost vibrate through a language of shapes, lights, and materials [1].

The operating methodology follows these phases: i) the analysis of project trawinger and protographic documentation; ii) two-dimensional reconstruction in CAD of plans, fronts, and sections and NURLS modeling. To archive an in-depth comparative analysis of the architectural sign and their common on in the geometry facade, the construction of 3D study models is necessary. In some cases, it is not easy to deeply comprehend material variations and intersections among the envelope elements. The modeling of the two works using inclusions of urfaces refers to design drawings for the two-dimensional reconstruction phase and information obtained from historical and current photographs, through which it was possible to understand better and remicate patterns and critical points of the projects.

3. Texture

149 150

In Muuratsalo House, Alvar Aalto explicitly deviates from linear a follow per innish constructive traditions without losing connection to the genius loci, which characterizes a cost part colits work and which is here manifested at times. The plurality of textures and typologies also envelops horizontary lower, defining a space with multiple rhythms. The continuous variations on the theme create a "paradoxical unfinished microcosm" in symbiosis with the outer nature [9]. The chromatic contrast between the external whice painted masonry shell and the internal red-brick courtyard is evident. The courtyard is partially enclosed by free-wall interrupted by empty spaces to mediate the inside-outside relationship, simultaneously suggesting a sense of archaic and tost, almost as if they were modern ruins [10]. As it is for the bas-relief figurative composition of the Tabula Iliaca Capitolina, the articulation of the bricks on

As it is for the bas-relief figurative composition of the Tabula Iliaca Capitolina, the articulation of the bricks on the walls of the patio of Muuratsalo couse because a Aalto seems to have the will of surrounding the visitor with an iconographic narration on masor y, not only as a construction material but also as an element capable of provide unity by its intrinsic properties, and anythmic subdivision to the multiple opportunities of configuration and proportioning.

The courtyard fronts be ome a sort of sampler pattern in which ceramic and bricks are combined in different modules, scales, and treatment of the fifty textures of the patio were also meant to test each solution's optical effects and durability. In A no s archive ture, there is often a dematerialization of the space, breaking the rigid protocol between inside as a outside. In the patio of Muuratsalo House, the built environment is fused with the surrounding landscape; inside a docusine arc merged to allow the architecture to frame the lake Lehtisselkä, reminding of the way the window on we rule of facades of collapsed buildings frame the sky in Civita di Bagnoreggio [11].

168 Muy isalo F use is onfigured as an étude on bricks, a short study composition on masonry texture in which 169 technical origions on the same material are expressed. In this sense, the square courtyard fronts become the scene for 170 a real archite bural performance.

171 On the opperite view, the Leicester Engineering Department Building by James Stirling and James Gowan shows 172 an 'inscriptivity'' to context, which can often be found in the works of these two architects, manifested as an expression 173 of au nomy from the place, of which the architecture aims to be a landmark. To escape the "routine of modernism", 174 Summy ecovers fragments of the modern language, defining a speech of dissonant references, contradictions, and 175 discontinuities that nonetheless find their own compositional meaning.

The "construction machine" is assembled with the most unpredictable architectural quotations, in which materials and shapes juxtapose but never totally merge. Exposed masonry, broad glass surfaces, concrete, and steel alternate in continuous variations to create different rhythms to the complex volumetric layout, generated to respond to the multiple uses hosted by the building in a specific way. The lack of a predominant front comes from the importance that Stirling gives to the internal organization, which peremptorily directs the external appearance based on its necessities and from which the dissonant and fragmentary narration of the facade derives [9].

The macroscopic contrast between flat red-brick surfaces and wide glass and metal ones is evident in the Leicester Engineering Department. Here, the pattern is based less on the material heterogeneity and more on the juxtaposition between masonry and glass, opaque and transparent materials, red and grey, and blue.

Ultimately, the work of Stirling and Gowan embodies a suite for brick, concrete, glass, and steel, in which the passages, harmonized by the language, follow each other in the form of function-volumes with distinct rhythms (fig. 4).



189 190

191

192 193

194

195 196 197

198

199

200

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

Fig. 4 – On the left: masonry textures in Muuratsalo House, a "sonple," of mater'a and geometry patterns. Source: picture by Hassan Bagheri, http://www.zeroundicipiu.it/2012/04, 4/casa per anentale-a-muuratsalo; on the right: material juxtaposition in the Leicester Engineering Department, where the repeated rhythms of windows and skylights interpose to the linearity of brick walls and conc. 10. So rce: ARUP. (2022). Engineering Building. Conservation Managment, an.

https://player.flipsnack.com/?hash=OT____FBRkY4RDYrN3VpbzU5dGd2dQ%3D%3D&p=14.

4. Geometries

The compositional grammar of Mutamalo House ar years relatively simple in plan and fronts, as it is for the volumetric layout. Rectangular rooms are arranged in succession, one after another, around a central square (the patio), which is the pivot of the composition.

The facades looking East and West are made of rectangular planes whose articulation is determined just by the variation of the orientation on the volumes. On each front, the compositional geometry is given by the juxtaposition of rectangular openings and column as wall. Sometimes, windows can assume the primary role in the composition, as they do for the west form On the East floade, however, the window is a minimal square opening whose compositional role is manifested by its relation on the surface. On the South and North sides, the variation is driven by the sloping roof shape; noneth cless and the surface. On the characterized by the openings being either dominant elements or small holes, mains a changed.

The anculate geometry of the floor and the facades of the patio, realized by the varied use of masonry, contrasts the simplicity of volumes and planes. In fact, the work on bricks with different dimensions and colors determines a composition of mixed rhythms. On the courtyard facades, the rhythm is directed by four main vertical strips and three horizontal one subdivided into additional secondary bands according to the brick change (fig. 5). Geometry and to ture parge to manifest the compositional grammar of the architecture.



Fig. 5 – Geometric progression scheme on the front of the pation in Mauratsalo House, in which the grid highlights the subdivisions, not only of the openings but mainly of the articulate just position of different masonry patterns. Source: image created by the authors.

As far as the Leicester Engineering Depar hent acceluratsalo House share a composition based on purely flat surfaces (including the cylinders of the spiral sums, which by the way, are obtained by an assembly of flat glazed modules), the overall geometry of the first appears extremely more complex and varied, which can be explained as an interpolation of multifaceted prisms. The articlation of the organisms is characterized by an evolving syncopated rhythm, in which voids spiral among volumes, and towers accent the constant cadence of the sheds. The research on the internal functional necessives is translated in a discordant refrain of overhangs and recesses, jumps, and direction changes.

The masonry walls follow a cantially linear and horizontal progressions, configured as flat faces of pure volumes, 226 even in episodes like and onfer cer oms, whose cavee are represented on the outside with the simple sign of an 227 228 inclined surface. The wind w system has the most significant geometric variants, working on alternative compositions 229 with mostly rectan, Var accuration is dictates a large part of the facades' rhythms and movements. The glazed elements 230 of the office and repeated along its vertical with a double tempo, declaring the internal progression of the floors; 231 assuming an obling direction, they sign top to bottom the volume connecting offices to the stairwell; ultimately, they 232 become become boo windows" to mark the research tower horizontally. The most iconic element of this architecture by Stirling a Gowan is certainly the shed roof of the laboratory area, whose module, a squared base prism, is repeated 233 234 t a fast page of r the entire surface, with a plan angulation of 45° in relation to the general direction of the envelope. 235 It reate a strong pattern that overflows from the base and breaks its linearity, creating a complex game of intersections 23F with e glazed block on the back (fig. 6).

237

214

218



239

Fig. 6 – Geometric progression scheme on the South-East façade and the roof plan of the Leicester Engineering Department. Observing the main directions of the surfaces, it is evident how the new pendence of the functional volumes produces continuous fragmentations and gaps in the geometric lar out, which is separated into distinct microsystems. By rotating 45 degrees, the glazed sheds break the orthogon. 'two the out ding, as it is for the entrance ramp and most of the angles of the other volumes, which are bayeled for twing the same direction. Source: images created by the authors.

5. Compositional elements

In the connection to the ground of Muuratsalo House, Aalto experiments with the "foundationless building", utilizing a floor substructure in the atelier, while choosing a "free-form column structure" for the woodshed, which involves supporting wooden columns on beneficial points of the group [12]. As for the relationship with the context, while following the natural slope of the land, the objete-plastered perimeter walls of the building show a deliberate detachment. Inside the patio, though, the bond with nature and the underlying ground returns, almost as a re-proposition of the idea of the hearth of the Roman rustic vita.

In the Leicester Engineering Department Building, the connection to the ground assumes the sign of a solid masonry
 base, continuous and seldom interruption by opening. Equicitly referencing Wright's Johnson Wax Building, the base
 defines a horizontal and consistent capturation as vers as an incipit for the game of variations along the elevation.

As for the window element, in Muuratsalo Houle, the hierarchy among openings is given by the internal distribution. The windows on the private ride of the hierarchy small and positioned at the top to avoid prying eyes. A different approach is chosen for the kindows of the atelier and the patio; the former was conceived larger to create a visual connection between the internet and external space, and the latter was designed to enjoy the surrounding nature in a reserved/filtered way. The vindow princed on the West facade create an almost solemn view of the external landscape, thanks to a texture of vertical elements.

In the Leicester in meering Department Building, the broad glazed surfaces are countered by the introversion of the walls, oneraing a sultifaceted alternation between the uniform and monotone pauses of the masonry texture and the faster ythms of the windows, which mark the envelope-like thin ribbons, prisms and big transparent planes (fig. 7).



ISSN 2421-4574 (ONLINE)



ISSN 2421-4574 (ONLINE)

In the Leicester Engineering Department, the connection with the sky assumes various configurations according to the diversity of the "functional passages" of the building. The vibrant and repeated movement of the glass and metal prisms counters the assertive closure of the office tower (fig. 8). The engineering department is also dynamized by another group of signs of different semantics, which cannot be brought into the Muuratsalo House by Aalto: a chimney, concrete pillars and sinuous spiral stairs mounted in glass cylinders identify a set of vertical elements which delineate punctual variations that link surfaces of different nature.

281

282



Fig. 8: Connections to the sky compared through axonometric views of the models (at different scales) drawings and
 photos, highlighting different rhythms and levels of complexity between the roofs of the two case studies and
 underlining the difference in material dynamics through the elevation. Source: images created by the authors.

۱sh,

6. Results

286 287

292

293

294

295

296

308

288 The reconstruction methodology chosen for Muuratsalo House and the Leicester Engineering Building, using 2D 289 and 3D models, allowed the critical reading of the compositional language of the two architectures. The research led to 290 different results: i) thinking about the relationship between architecture and representation; ii) using 2D and 3D models 291 to analyze existing architectures.

Drawing is also a critical tool for analyzing, reading, and understanding architecture; therefore, it is necessary to investigate the generative process of shapes through representation. The study of project drawings, the redrawing in CAD, and the 3D modeling are phases connected to each other to lead to a critical reading of architecture.

By operating with aggregations and disaggregation, 3D models continuously force us to consider the among components in spatial, geometrical, compositional, volumetric, dimensional, and hierarchic term [14].

Analyzing Muurtsalo House through 2D and 3D representation makes it possible to understand how its facades e mainly based on the compositional and proportional relationship between walls and openings. The analysis of the patio's fronts underlines how this association develops a greater complexity because the textures, rhyton, and an entering of the construction material become the main signs of the compositional grammar. Therefore, methis can the material (bricks) and the assembly techniques generate texture and geometry.

Regarding the Leicester Engineering Department Building, the model initially allow the undestanding of the general volumetric articulation because a complex formal variety characterizes are tilding. Sub-equently, the 2D representation of the fronts and the 3D model were used to understand the relationship among the components of the facades. The whole configuration is characterized by the juxtaposition of multiple volumes, which impacts the facades, whose openings direct rhythms, textures, and geometries (figs. 9-10). It this case instead, the relationship between glazed components and continuous walls determines changes in textures and cometry.





Fig. – render of Muuratsalo House. Source: image created by the authors.

ISSN 2421-4574 (ONLINE)

Pesaro court registration number 3/2015

312

313 314 315

334 335

Fig. 10 – 3D model of the Leicester Engineering Department Bu sling. Surce: image of the authors.

7. Conclusions

316 Architects have designed their works strictly following geometimed modularity ratios and proportional rules for 317 centuries, but during the XX century, those intentions were subjected to a radical transformation. Representation forms have always held, and still hold nowadays, a cer cal role in all the reading processes of the existent reality. In particular, 318 the use of current digital tools fits into the an lysis process but also into the creation of configurations, especially 319 320 regarding complex architectures.

The analysis of architectures throu a 3D models to a continuous confrontation with the geometrical space to 321 322 understand the volumetric articulation are es blish spatial relationships among the parts. It also forces the deconstruction, decoding, and construction by typology of the components, which are the generating operations of a 323 shape. At the same time, the evelopment of a model forces us to think about the ordering structure. Through the virtual construction of solids and surfaces and their juxtapositions, the model helps to retrace an 324

325 architecture's morphogenesis receasing the architect's design intentions. 326

327 The interpretative and vsis h ly es various aspects of the architecture (functions, dimensions, composition, hierarchy). In the scenar reading two specific elements of the composition, such as geometry and texture, is also 328 included. The work of the two presented case studies expresses an analysis method that includes, on one side, the 329 330 composition and year, tric decoding and, on the other, the communication of the architecture through 2D and 3D 331 representions c pable of conveying formal characteristics. In this process, from the study of project drawings to the 332 modeling, be orth is not only in the graphic result but mainly in the methodological process of reading performed 333 through diffeent forms of representation.

References

33 Un urini F. (2017) Comporre l'architettura. Editori Laterza, Roma 137 [2] rrampton K. (2005) Tettonica e architettura. Poetica della forma architettonica nel XIX e XX secolo. Skira, 37 Milano

- 339 [3] Alabiso A. (2014) Architettura giapponese e architetti occidentali. Nova Logos, Aprilia
- 340 [4] Migliari R. (1991) Il disegno degli ordini e il rilievo dell'architettura classica: Cinque Pezzi Facili in Disegnare 341 Idee Immagini 2: 49-66
- 342 [5] Tedde G. (2004) Musica è architettura. In: Peluso S. (a cura di). Musica & Architettura. Paesaggi della 343 contemporaneità, Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, 55-59
- [6] Boullée E. (1981). Architettura. Saggio sull'arte. Marsilio Editore, Venezia 344

346

ISSN 2421-4574 (ONLINE)

- 345 [7] Eckermann J. (1850) Conversations of Goethe with Eckermann and Soret. Smith, Elder & Co., London
 - [8] de Rubertis R. (1994) Il disegno dell'architettura. La Nuova Italia Scientifica, Roma
- 347 [9] Biraghi M. (2008) Storia dell'architettura contemporanea II. Giulio Einaudi Editore, Torino
- 348 [10] Lahti L. (2019). Alvar Aalto. Taschen, Colonia
- 349 [11] Soletti A. (1992) Analisi storica del disegno "dinamico". In de Rubertis R., Soletti A., Ugo V. (a cura di), Temi
- 350 e codici del disegno d'architettura, Officina Edizioni, Roma, pp 65-82
- 351 [12] Alvar Aalto Foundation, Muuratsalo Experimental House.
- 352 https://www.alvaraalto.fi/en/architecture/muuratsalo-experimental-house/
- 353 [13] ARUP (2022). Engineering Building. Conservation Managment Plan. University of Leicester.
- 354 https://player.flipsnack.com/?hash=OTc1OUFBRkY4RDYrN3VpbzU5dGd2dQ%3D%3D&p=14
- 355 [14] Albisinni P., Carlevaris L., De Carlo L., Micucci A. (2012) Metodologie digitali per l'analisi d' r'archite, tra
- 356 Una rivisitazione dei progetti di concorso per i Nuovi Uffici per la Camera dei Deputati di Roja. In Grija pa
- 357 Bengoetxea A., Ubeda Blanco M. (a cura di), Atti del 14 Ega Congreso Internaciona' Exp. sion Gra ca
- **358** Arquitectonica, Universidad de Valladolid, Valladolid, pp 273-282