Indoor environmental quality in an Apulian kindergarten

Elena Crespino^{1*}, Ludovica Maria Campagna², Francesco Carlucci², Francesco Martellotta¹, Francesco Fiorito².

1 - ArCoD, Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy.

2 - DICATECh, Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy.

* - e.crespino1@phd.poliba.it

Abstract

1

2 3 4

5

6

7

8 9

27 28

29

30

10 Indoor environmental quality (IEQ) in school buildings is crucial for the health and 11 well-being of students, educators, and staff. Poor air quality and inadequate thermal 12 conditions compromise students' comfort and can lead to potential long-term health 13 issues. Since children's comfort differs from adults', it is important to consider surveys 14 on IEQ in school buildings. For this reason, this paper focuses on air quality and 15 thermal comfort in kindergartens in Italy. An IEQ monitoring campaign as 16 conducted within a kindergarten, with data used for thermal comfort and IAO ar ayses, 17 including CO₂ levels generated by occupants and thermal discomforting hour. T٢ 18 simulations of carbon dioxide levels showed that the amount of CO₂ ar amulated 19 the classrooms exceeds the threshold recommended by ASHRAE gradelines During 20 the winter seasons, CO₂ levels are significantly higher than these are sublated in 21 summer due to the limited ventilation practiced during the colder . onthe More ver, 22 thermal comfort analyses indicate that the summer season can be p. blema. due to 23 overheating: 42% of the occupied hours during the monitor, peric 1 exceeded the 24 temperature threshold, causing thermal discomfort for the occup . The winter 25 thermal comfort analyses demonstrated that heating stems are essential to maintain

temperatures within comfort thresholds.

Keywords: School buildings, indoor environmental quality ventilation strategies, carbon dioxide levels, sustainable design

31 **1. Introduction**

School buildings serve a social and educational purpose as centres for learning and development, carrying the liability of ensuring the fear concortable environment for students, educators, and staff. However, the COVID-19 pander ac has losed strafficant challenges to these principles [1]. The implementation of restrictive measures, the nect sity of social distancing, and an increased understanding of the risks posed by viruses have underscored division of indoor spaces [2].

In recent cars, gowing concerns about the health impacts of poor indoor air quality (IAQ) have highlighted 37 38 the need r be er ventilation and regular air quality monitoring, as high CO₂ levels can impair cognitive 39 function and acrease student absenteeism [3]. Alongside IAQ, research underscores the equal importance of 40 thermal comfort for occupant well-being and performance. Ensuring optimal IEQ in educational settings is often 41 charging due to outdated building designs, poor insulation, and inefficient HVAC systems [4]. These challe. res are even more pronounced in Mediterranean climates, where school buildings are typically ventilated 42 naturan, without cooling systems [5]. During the summer months, indoor climate control depends only on 43 tural ventilation; however, when outdoor temperatures are excessively high, this approach can cause thermal 45 disomfort within classrooms. Although natural ventilation in the warm months effectively maintains CO_2 46 evels below threshold limits, it frequently results in thermal discomfort. Conversely, natural ventilation is minimized during winter to retain the heat generated by heating systems [5]. Consequently, while thermal 47 48 comfort is preserved, CO_2 levels tend to be significantly higher than in summer [5]. These issues are particularly 49 relevant in Italy, where many school buildings are outdated, overcrowded, and inadequately ventilated, further 50 complicating efforts to create environments conducive to learning and occupants' comfort [6]. In a specific way, 51 kindergartens are emblematic buildings for managing IEQ because they host preschool-aged children who are

particularly sensitive to environmental factors [3]. Children have a different thermoregulation capacity than 52 53 adults: their body surface area is larger in proportion to their weight, causing them to lose heat more quickly and making them more susceptible to temperature fluctuations. Their respiratory systems are still developing, 54 55 so prolonged exposure to poor air quality can negatively affect their growth and development [7]. Moreover, the nature of preschool activities, such as group play, increases their exposure to pathogens, including viruses 56 57 [8]. Therefore, kindergartens represent a significant challenge in terms of ensuring high IEO. Acknowledgin the issues underscored by the pandemic, it becomes imperative to introduce new design strategies for buildings 58 59 that ensure healthful, safe environments, offering both optimal air quality and proper thermal comfort. 60 develop effective new design guidelines, the first action should be a detailed examination of the current conditions of school facilities to identify critical areas for enhancement. In this context, the research present 61 62 in this article focuses on evaluating the indoor environmental quality of a naturally – ventilated undergan in 63 Bari, Southern Italy. By aligning with the current state of the art, this research aims to assess bir quality ind thermal comfort in a preschool building, analyse the effectiveness of natural ventilation strengter, and previde 64 recommendations for improving IEQ in similar settings. The paper is divided into several sections. the initial 65 section explains the methodology applied in the study, along with a description of the school used as the 66 monitoring sample; the following section presents the analysis results; the last section discusses the outcomes 67 68 and their implications.

69 2. Methods and materials

The methodology of this study is structured into four key phases first, data as gathered through a real-time 70

71 monitoring campaign conducted in two classrooms of the selected king organten. Following this, the collected

data were analysed. The third phase involved developing an energy sowledge based model of the kindergarten, which was subsequently calibrated in accordance with the ASL RAE 4:2:14 standard. Finally, simulations of 72

73 accumulated CO₂ levels were performed during the occupied hou s in the monitored classrooms, and the thermal 74

comfort was evaluated using the Daily Discomfort Hours (1. 7H), netric. 75

The following sections provide a more detailed explanation of each phase of the methodology. 76

77 2.1 The monitoring campaign

78 The school building selected for the concoring camp agn is the John Fitzgerald Kennedy kindergarten (Viale °59'2 The facility operates from 8:00 am to 1:30 pm and is open from 79 Kennedy 46, Bari BA - 40°45'36", 7

80 September to June.

The school, constructed in 19 2, is a single-st xy building with a compact design and includes a basement level 81 used as a technical room. I has a surface-to-volume ratio (S/V) of 0.33. The building envelope consists of brick 82 with an air gap but lacks the parinsulation, as confirmed by the Apulian Regional Portal for School Buildings (ARES) [9]. The interior and exprise are both finished with plaster, while the floors are made of concrete slabs 83 84 with a ceramic til rinish According to the ARES portal, the envelope includes metal frames for windows and 85 86 French doors, which reported as requiring replacement. The monitoring campaign involved measuring indoor and sur or mperatures and relative humidity (RH) using three EL USB DATA LOGGERS 87 88 (designat a in the study as sensors A, B, and C). Data collection occurred over 15 days during the spring-89 summer sector in two occupied classrooms: classroom A was monitored from June 13, 2023, to June 27, 2023, and classroon. B from May 23, 2023, to June 6, 2023. The classrooms have metal fixtures (doors and glass 90 91 dors) with single glazing and roller shutters, and the ARES portal has indicated that a complete replacement is nerssary. Classroom A has a 40 m² surface area, 118 m³ of volume, hosts 20 children, and is oriented to the 92 buth-1 st, while Classroom B has a 44 m² surface area, 133 m³, hosts 22 children, and is oriented to the South-93 West. An the IEQ analyses presented in this paper refer to Classroom A, as it is representative of the building. 94 ditionally, among the two monitored classrooms, Classroom A has the most challenging orientation for 96 overheating, being south-east facing, and is the one most exposed to solar radiation during occupancy hours.

97 The sensors were installed at key locations within the classrooms (Fig.1), each placed at a consistent height of 98 2 meters above the floor. Sensors A and C were placed respectively outside and inside the windows most 99 frequently opened by educators during occupancy hours for ventilation. Sensor B was located on the opposite 100 side to sensors A and C. The sensors were programmed to record IAQ parameters at 2-minute intervals, and

101 during the analysis phase, the data was averaged into 20-minute segments.



Figure 1 – Positioning of the sensors in the monitored classrooms.

102

103 The comparison of temperature readings from sensors B and C allowed the determination of the temperature 104 fluctuations that the classrooms experienced during the monitoring period. Senso A and the provide the data were 105 analysed to assess the ventilation strategies employed in the classrooms during sector nours. Occupied days

106 were consolidated into a single standard occupancy model, referred to as Of Dec, which revesents the actual

107 classroom usage conditions for that season. Likewise, temperature reading from noccupied days were merged

108 into a standard model known as *N-OC Day*, representing an unoccupied c. ssr on.

109 2.1 The school building energy model and indoor tempered are trads

The school's geometric model was created using Autodesk Re it so, ware once the 3D model was created in 110 Revit, it was transformed into an analytical and energy model, then explored to the energy simulation software 111 112 Design Builder. The energy model in Design Builder sup lemented with Ventilation, Occupation, and 113 Lighting Schedules, with the heating and cooling system turned. f. Cooling systems were excluded from the 114 analysis as they were not present in the building. Heating systems were also omitted to better assess the building's passive thermal behaviour without ne aid of mechanical systems. To proceed with the calibration of 115 the energy model, a current climatic file was mera d using the actual weather data measured from the 116 monitoring stations, specifically from a in 467 (Bar Osservatorio) [10], to be used as boundary conditions 117 for the simulations [11]. The calibrat on process we conducted manually, following the guidelines of ASHRAE 14:2014, ensuring the NMSE and CRM 2 in cess were within the acceptable ranges for hourly calibration, 118 119 with the NMBE within $\pm 10\%$ and the CVRMS 2 below 30%. 120

121 After constructing the energy medel, where analyses were carried out on the calibrated energy model. Ventilation Schedules were stal ashed and applied to the energy model according to the ventilation hypotheses Hp.1, Hp.2, and Hp.3 lefting in program 2.4. Simulations were performed for each ventilation hypothesis 122 123 124 to assess the indoc temp rature and of classroom A under the different ventilation strategies. The Hp.1 and 125 Hp.2 ventilation mul re performed during classroom A's monitoring period (from 13/06/2023 to 27/06/2023): Hp. simulation was carried out over a sample period of winter conditions (from 23/02/2023) 126 to 6/03/20 3). D ta ge brated from the simulations were aggregated into a single standard model for each 127 ventilation hypothesis, averaged hourly across all days, and then compared with the external dry bulb 128 129 temperature is a using the same energy model.

130 2. The mal Comfort analysis

131 Dany comfort Hours (DDH) [12] were adopted to assess thermal comfort during summer and winter. The 132 invalid in the invalid interval of the invalid interval of the invalid interval of the invalid interval of the in

$$DDH = \sum_{i=1}^{24} (T_{o,in} - T_c)$$
 (1)

138 139

140 where, T_{o,in} [°C], was obtained from energy simulations, while T_c [°C], the daily temperature limit, was calculated according to the EN 16798-1 standard for comfort Class I. During summer, discomfort hours occur 141 142 when $T_{o,in}$ exceeds the upper threshold limits; during winter, *discomfort hours* occur when $T_{o,in}$ is below the 143 lower limit [14].

144 2.4 Estimation of the carbon dioxide levels

zure

145 Assessing indoor air quality requires careful consideration of the carbon dioxide levels within the confined a ea. Carbon dioxide acts as a proxy for air quality, and in the absence of precise monitoring tools, it levels car be 146 147 estimated using the following equation (2) [15]:

148

$$C(t) = C_{ext} + \frac{G \times 10^6}{\frac{ACH \times V}{3600}} - \left(C_{ext} - C_0 - \frac{G \times 10^6}{\frac{ACH \times V}{3600}}\right) e^{\frac{-ACH \times t}{3600}}$$

149 150

159

where, C_{ext} ([ppm]) is the external carbon dioxide level, C_0 ([ppm¹) is the external carbon for 151 classrooms in this study, G ($[m^3/s]$) represents the estimated CO₂ production n e (which varies by age, sex, and physical activity) [16], V ($[m^3]$) is the volume of the classroom, CH (P^{-1}) inducates the air changes per hour, 152 153 and t ([s]) denotes time. C(t) indicates the level of carbon c oxic cresent of the confined environment at a specific time t. These parameters were applied in simulating c (bon) (oxic clevels: ACH of 2.5 h⁻¹, C_{ext} = 450 ppm [17], C₀ = 1000 ppm [16], V = 118 m³, G = 0.0029 l/s. This value was derived by averaging the CO₂ methods and the confined environment at a specific time t. These parameters were applied in simulating c (bon) (oxic clevels: ACH of 2.5 h⁻¹, C_{ext} = 450 ppm [17], C₀ = 1000 ppm [16], V = 118 m³, G = 0.0029 l/s. This value was derived by averaging the CO₂ methods are clevels. 154 155 156 production of a male child aged 3 to 6 years, which is 0.0.20 1/s with that of a female child of the same age, 157 158 which is 0.0028 l/s [16].



160

161 Different ve. ation hypotheses, *Hp.1*, *Hp.2*, and *Hp.3*, were considered for estimating CO₂ levels (Fig.2). *Hp.1* and Hp.2 ven lation strategies mirror typical summer ventilation practices, where the environment is 162 con inuo ay ventilated during classroom occupancy. Under Hp.1, windows are opened once the children have 163 164 arrive while in Hp.2, they enter classrooms that have already been ventilated. Hp.3 represents a winter-specific 165 venue strategy characterized by short, intermittent air exchanges throughout the classroom occupancy eriod. In this scenario, windows are partially opened for 10-minute intervals (from 09:16 am to 09:26 am, from . 6 1016 am to 10:26 am, from 11:16 am to 11.26 am, from 1:16 pm to 1:30 pm) [18]. CO₂ level simulations were 16) repeated using a hypothetical classroom volume, Vol.2, which is larger than the actual volume of classroom A, 168 169 to assess the impact of room size on CO₂ levels. Vol.2 is set at 170 m³, representing a 44% increase in the 170 volume of Classroom A.

171 3. Results

10.30682/tema100018

TEMA: Technologies, Engineering, Materials and Architecture

Pesaro court registration number 3/2015

The results of this study are outlined in this section, and they refer to the monitoring and analyses related to Classroom A, as it was previously indicated to be representative of the case study. The first part provides a summary of the kindergarten monitoring campaign results. The second part shows the findings from the calibration of the energy model. The third section presents the results from the thermal comfort analyses, while the final part focuses on the outcomes of the indoor air quality evaluation, with the results of the carbon dioxide

177 levels simulations.

178 *3.1 Results from the monitoring campaign:* ΔT , relative humidity, and users' behaviour

179 As previously noted in the Methodology section, the data gathered from the monitoring campaign view alys

to assess the current condition of the kindergarten. This paragraph presents the results related to the temperature deltas measured in Classroom A by the data loggers and the humidity levels the room was subjected to during the monitoring period. The data loggers' temperature data analysis is also discussed, providing in other into the users' behaviour regarding ventilation, specifically when and how ventilation was practiced during the classroom's occupancy hours.

185 Fig.3a) shows the temperature differences, or deltas, recorded by sensors C at Birm, two monitored 186 classrooms during the experimental period. It is assumed that the negative Λ^{T} values are called by air leaks through the fixtures, especially noted during the night. The presence *c* out ted *first* is, which require 187 complete replacement as noted by the authority on the ARES portal, opporter his hypothesis. The analysis 188 results of the relative humidity data are shown in Fig.3b). The graph /ispla, . discontinuous curve, indicating 189 a peak RH of 74% and a minimum value of 44.5%. The average celative hubidity was approximately 61%, 190 slightly above the health and safety regulations' recomme ded 1 mit for maintaining healthy school environments. The recommended range for RH is 40-60%, as indicated by the green area in the graph (Fig.3b) 191 192 193 [19].





Figure 3 – a) Temperature en preners recorded between sensors A and C, indicative of the temperature deltas to which Classe on A was subjected during the monitoring period, and b) Relative Humidity (RH) levels measured inside Classroom A.

195

196 Based on the preventive analysis from sensors A and C, standard ventilation models were developed for both 197 the day wird occupancy (OC Day) and the day without occupancy (N-OC Day). These models allowed us to 198 under tand that during the monitoring period, the users maintained constant ventilation during the classroom's 195 v hours. The temperature variations for both daily models are depicted in Fig.4. In the early part of the 00 occupied day, the indoor temperature trend in the classroom is lower than that of the outdoor temperature. bring occupancy hours, this pattern reverses: as the windows are opened, the classroom temperature increases 26 due to the external thermal load heating the space. The N-OC Day ventilation model shows a similar trend 202 203 between external and indoor temperatures, but indoor temperatures do not increase as they do in the occupied 204 scenario because the classroom remains unoccupied, and ventilation is not carried out.

205



206 3.2 Calibration of the school building's energy model and indoor temperature trend

The calibration results of the energy model, as shown in Figure 5, compare singlated temperature data with measured ones on days when the building is occupied (Fig.5a) and unoccupied (Fig. 5b). The indices defined by the ASHRAE Guideline 14:2014 [11] comply with the standard, as they full within the established ranges for both occupied and unoccupied days (Tab. 1).

211

213 214

Table 1 - Calibration index results defined by the ASHRAE 14:2014 Suidely. Sor energy models that use hourly data.

| Standard Day Model | NMBE | CV (RMSE) | Max num percentage error | $Maximum \Delta T$ |
|--------------------|-------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| OC Day | 0.23% | 0.27% | 2.18% | 0.5 °C |
| N-OC Day | 0.46% | 0.53% | 2.33% | 0.55 °C |
| | | | | |



Figur 5 Comparison between the monitored and simulated temperatures using the energy model for both occupied and unoccupied days.

215

216 The simulations of indoor temperature trends in classroom A resulted in different curves for each summer 217 hypothesis, as described earlier in section 2.4. The results for the summer ventilation strategies Hp.1 nd Hp.2 are depicted in Fig.6 a. The comparison of the two curves (Fig.6 a) reveals that both ventilation 21 hy otheses produce similar trends. The temperature increases steadily in the morning, then levels off during the 220 day and remains stable thereafter. At 7:00 am, both curves start with temperatures near 20°C. In the Hp.1 curve, 221 the temperature steadily rises until it peaks shortly after the classroom becomes occupied since the windows are 222 closed when students arrive under this ventilation scenario. When the windows are opened, the temperature 223 decreases. The curve under Hp.2 remains stable throughout the day. The curves differ at the start of the school 224 day: the Hp.1 hypothesis shows an increase in the initial phase, whereas the Hp.2 hypothesis maintains a more 225 consistent trend throughout the day.

226



Figure 6 - a) Simulation of the occupied days using the *Hp.1* and *Hp.2* ventilation strategies and) Simulation the occupied days using the *Hp.3* ventilation strategy.

227

240

The temperature curve in Figure 6b) corresponds to the trend produced by the wayte ventilation hypothesis Hp.3. During the morning hours, the temperature steadily increases. The peak temperature occurs around midday, reaching slightly above 20°C. Following the peak, the temperature gradually declines for the rest of the day.

232 3.3 Thermal comfort evaluation: Daily Discomfort Hour analy es res lts

The thermal comfort analyses of the classroom reveal significan seas nal differences. During the winter (Fig.7), the $T_{o,in}$ fluctuates, often exceeding the limits of the thermal confort zoon indicated in grey. This suggests that the children may have experienced suboptimal cold condition, indicating a potential need for improved insulation or better heating management. Out of 360 simulated and s, 45% fall within the thermal comfort zone, while the remaining 55% represent hours of discomfort. However, when narrowing the analysis to the 90 hours of actual classroom occupancy, it is observed that 65% of these hours provide comfortable conditions, while 35% fall into the discomfort zone.



igure 7 T_{o,in} rend during the sample winter period. The grey area represents the thermal discomfort zone for cold months (EN-16798).

241

the summer period (Fig.8), the situation appears even more critical, with the $T_{o,in}$ frequently surpassing the the nal comfort zone indicated in red. Discomfort conditions characterized 96% of the total hours. When considering only the hours of actual classroom occupancy, all of them fall within the discomfort zone.

TEMA: Technologies, Engineering, Materials and Architecture

Pesaro court registration number 3/2015



245 3.4 Indoor Air Quality evaluation: results from the CO₂ levels simulations

246 The carbon dioxide simulations in classroom A resulted in varying sceptios. and or the summer ventilation scenarios, Hp.1 and Hp.2, the carbon dioxide levels generated by the occurring stay constant since they are 247 248 continuously produced and ventilated out. The distinction betw en the two ventilation strategies becomes 249 particularly clear during the initial occupancy hours: in the Hp. scene to, children arrive gradually in a room with closed windows, which are only opened later, maintaining contant versitation after that. As a result, the 250 CO₂ concentration curve under the Hp.1 scenario shows a spik in the party phase. Under the Hp.2 ventilation 251 strategy, the initial CO₂ spike does not occur becau the children arrive in a room that has already been 252 253 ventilated, allowing the CO_2 produced to be directly expended we hout accumulation. In each case, the carbon dioxide concentrations exceed the set threshold of 1000 ppm (Fig.7). 254





256

255

Using the Hp.3 ventilation strategy, the carbon dioxide level simulation produced an irregular CO_2 trend. Spech cally, the simulated CO_2 levels are considerably higher than those observed under typical spring and summer avironmental conditions (Fig.10).

The simulations of CO_2 levels conducted with the increased volume of Classroom A, *Vol.2*, demonstrate how inc or pollutants accumulate more slowly. As a result, the curves representing these levels in the graphs are lower than those obtained with the original volume. However, despite the slower accumulation, CO_2 concentrations still exceed the established limit of 1000 ppm.



Figure 10 - Comparison between the carbon dioxide levels simulated with all the ventilation hypotheses.

264 **4. Discussion**

This paper provides a comprehensive overview of the indoor environmental quality at the John Fitzgerald Kennedy kindergarten in Bari. The monitoring campaign in the kindergarter, as ng with the data analysis and the simulation of thermal comfort and indoor air quality, provided intere ang in 19ths into the current state of this school building. Analysis of the collected data (Fig. 3a) indicates us to closered, temperature variations hinder the maintenance of a stable indoor temperature. It was hy othesized in this study that the observed negative temperature variations result from air infiltration through windows, particularly at night. The presence of outdated windows, as noted in the ARES portal, supports this a methesis. The collected data on relative humidity reveal that, throughout much of the monitoring period, the levels fell

The collected data on relative humidity reveal that, through a muc of the monitoring period, the levels fell short of the standards set by both Italian and international regulations to ensure safe and healthy indoor environments (Fig. 3b) [19]. Maintaining proper concel over indoor relative humidity levels is crucial, particularly in buildings occupied by fragile users, as is this cas

276 The analysis of the trends in internal and external temperatures suggests that the occupants maintain constant ventilation during the warm season (Fig.4a) This is a common strategy in buildings without cooling systems 277 278 and under favourable wind conditions (in terms of larec ion and speed), it can have positive effects on thermal 279 comfort within the classroom [5]. However, a more in-depth comparison between outdoor and indoor temperatures reveals a more complex situation: using periods of occupancy, the indoor temperature of the classroom tends to increase not only due to the activities inside but also because outdoor heat infiltrates the 280 281 282 environment. As a result, by o ening the wind ws, the classroom quickly reaches thermal equilibrium with the 283 outside, reducing the effect eness of nation ventilation as a cooling strategy. Instead of lowering the internal 284 temperature, this practice c. far nitate the entry of external heat, thereby compromising the potential benefits of natural ventilation main, ring comfortable indoor climate. However, among the ventilation strategies 285 286 analysed, some stud ou as partialarly effective in ensuring a more uniform level of comfort within the 287 classroom. Simultions frequencies the second strategies have yielded valuable insights into 100, nermal comfort. The Hp.2 summer ventilation strategy is particularly effective in 288 289 maintaining consistent adoor temperatures throughout the day (Fig. 6 a), indicating that a well-managed 290 ventilation approach can prevent potential classroom overheating during summer and enhance internal thermal comfort. In scenario, the windows are opened before the occupants arrive, allowing for a pre-cooling effect 291 292 that contribute to the overall stability of indoor temperatures. The Hp.1 ventilation strategy results in more 293 sig ficar temperature fluctuations early in the school day; without ventilation upon the arrival of users, 294 temper tures increase due to passive overheating caused by the influx of occupants and rising external 295 temperes. Except for this early difference, the two curves reflect similar thermal behaviour in space, as both ssume a constant ventilation regime. ~ 6

The curve for the winter temperature trend under Hp.3 shows a distinct pattern compared to the summer models Fig.6 b). The simulated rise in temperature during classroom occupancy hours is attributed to passive heating from solar gain and occupants' activity, leading to heat buildup in the absence of natural ventilation. This pattern is adjusted by brief ventilation periods that result in minor heat loss; nevertheless, in the Hp.3 regime, these intervals are insufficient to properly dilute indoor pollutants or remove the carbon dioxide generated by occupants, as illustrated in the CO₂ simulation analysis. This finding highlights the need for scheduled natural ventilation that balances air quality and heat retention, particularly during the colder months, to provide

304 comfortable indoor conditions [20].

305 The analysis of thermal comfort during the summer period reveals that relying solely on natural ventilation is 306 insufficient to prevent thermal discomfort (Fig.7). Although classrooms with natural ventilation have lower CO₂ 307 levels than those with mechanical ventilation, they tend to experience higher temperatures [21]. The south-east exposure exacerbates this issue, leading to all occupied hours falling within the thermal discomfort zone. This 308 309 critical situation necessitates targeted interventions to improve summer conditions, such as implementin 310 cooling strategies and solar shading [23]. While the south-east exposure is less problematic in winter and for 311 generally allows for greater thermal comfort compared to summer (Fig.8), maintaining optimal condition 312 the children still requires a reliable heating system. The CO₂ level simulations highlight the necessity of effective ventilation strategies to maintain CO₂ concentrations below the threshold. Between the two summer ventilations 313 314 strategies, Hp.1 and Hp.2, the latter was more effective in lowering carbon dioxide levels, oving to the pre-315 ventilation of the space before occupants enter (Fig.9). By avoiding CO₂ concentration spike, this strategy ensures a well-ventilated and clean environment. On the other hand, the Hp.3 winter vertilation strate y is 316 typically used during the colder months when the heating system is operational. During the water, venulation 317 318 is minimized to avoid heat loss and energy waste from open windows, leading to a rotable declin. in indoor air 319 quality [5]. The simulations using the third ventilation strategy demonstrate how winter (2) cumulation can surpass the acceptable threshold (Fig.10). Therefore, it is essential to implement an effective potral ventilation 320 strategy to limit indoor pollutants produced by occupants [20]. In crowded space, the COLID-19 Report No. 321 33 from the Italian National Institute of Health advises that ventilation stems is activated before occupants 322 enter. This approach can be integrated into naturally ventilated buildings, along occupants to arrive in a pre-ventilated space, thereby improving pollutant removal, as shown by the CO_2 imulations [24]. The volume of 323 324 325 the enclosed space is another critical factor in indoor air quality maly: . Environments with smaller volumes 326 are observed to reach saturation faster compared to larger one [25, while the carbon dioxide simulations with the increased classroom volume (Vol.2) show that even with a arger bar, CO₂ levels still exceed 1000 ppm, 327 328 this approach can nonetheless contribute to improving in qualit

329 **5.** Conclusion

This study identified critical issues in Italian soluted buildings that negatively affect occupant comfort, emphasizing the need for energy retrofitting a solution environmental quality. The research highlighted that season my adapted version strategies are crucial to enhancing both thermal comfort and air quality. Finally, the tudy disconstrated the importance of comprehensive monitoring and data analysis in developing efficient management programs and ventilation systems that optimize building performance and occupant win-being.

336 6. Acknowledgments

Thanks to the Job Fitzge ald Kennedy Kindergarten, located at Viale John Fitzgerald Kennedy, 46 Bari (BA),
 for hosting the montoring campaign.

339 7. Aut' or Co' cribu. ons

E.C. contributed to the writing of the manuscript, the creation of the figures, and data analysis. L.M.C. and F.C.
we responsible for reviewing the manuscript. F.M. and F.F. and coordinated work.

342 • Fn. ding

3-, 1 is research received no specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit 344 sectors. However, Elena Crespino receives the support of her PhD scholarship.

345 9. References

- Taheri H, Rider T (2022) A review on architectural guidelines to safety reopen buildings in light of COVID 19 in the United States: establishing future research opportunities. Architectural Science Review. 65(6):1-15
- 348 2. ISTAT. Report (2020, 2021) L'effetto della pandemia sui Servizi educativi per l'infanzia in Italia; Indagine

TEMA: Technologies, Engineering, Materials and Architecture

349

350

straordinaria sui Servizi educativi per l'infanzia; Dipartimento per le politiche della famiglia, Istat, Università Ca'Foscari Venezia, Governance & Social Innovation.

- 351 Young BN, Benka-Coker WO, et al (2021) How does absenteeism impact the link between school's indoor 3. 352 environmental quality and student performance? Building and Environment 203(1):108053
- 353 Theodosiou TG, Ordoumpozanis KT (2008) Energy, comfort and indoor air quality in nursery and elementary school 4. 354 buildings in the cold climatic zone of Greece. Energy and Buildings 40(12):2207-2214
- 355 Miao S, Gangolells M, et al (2023) A Comprehensive Assessment of Indoor Air Quality and Thermal Comfort in 5. Educational Buildings in the Mediterranean Climate. Indoor Air 2023(1) 356
- Ugolini Chiara B (2019) Qualità dell'Aria negli Edifici Scolastici. Eurac Research Istituto per le Energie Rint. 357 6.
- 358 Chen W, Deng Y, Cao B (2022) An experimental study on the difference in thermal comfort perception between 7. 359 preschool children and their parents. Journal of Building Engineering 56(2):104723
- 360 Hassan Abdallah A (2017) Thermal Monitoring and Evaluation of Indoor CO2 Concentration in Cle srooms o. Two 361 Primary Governmental Schools in New Assiut City, Egypt. Procedia Engineering 205:1093-1099
- 362 Puglia Regionale Regi P 9. Regione (2023)Anagrafe Edilizia Scolastica. dia. 363 https://ediliziascolastica.regione.puglia.it
- 364 10. Mappa GIS - POLARIS (protezionecivile.puglia.it)
- 365 11. ASHRAE Guideline 14-2014. Measurement of energy, demand, and water savings. Americ? Socie. of Heating, 366 Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Atlanta (2014).
- 367 12. Carlucci, S.; Pagliano, L. A review of indices for the long-term evaluation of the period thermal comfort conditions 368 in buildings. Energy Build. 2012, 53, 194-205. [CrossRef]
- 369 13. ASHRAE IWEC2 Weather Files (whiteboxtechnologies.com)
- 370 14. EN (2019) Energy performance of buildings. Ventilation for building Indo. nvironmental input parameters for 371 design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing adoor air q. lity, thermal environment, lighting 372 and acoustics. EN 16798-1:2019
- 15. Schibuola L, Tambani C (2020) Indoor environmental quality class of the chool environments by monitoring 373 PM and CO₂ concentration levels. Atmospheric Pollution Research 11, 374
- 16. Persily A, de Jonge L (2017) Carbon dioxide generation rates for uilding ccupants. Indoor Air 22(Suppl 1). 375
- 376 17. ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2004. Ventilation for actually and a stable adoor air quality. American Society of Heating, 377 Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Atlanta (2004).
- 378 18. Andamon M, Rajagopalan P, Woo J (2023) Evaluation of ventilation in Australian school classrooms using long-term 379 indoor CO₂ concentration measurements. Bu⁷ ang and Environment 237(5):110313
- 380 19. Decreto Ministeriale (1975) Norme tecnice againer relative all'edilizia scolastica, ivi compresi gli indici di 381 funzionalità didattica, edilizia ed urbanistica, cosserve si nella esecuzione di opere di edilizia scolastica. Decreto 382 Ministeriale 18 dicembre 1975.
- 20. Barbosa FC, de Freitas VP, Almeid M (2020 School building experimental characterization in Mediterranean climate 383 384 regarding comfort, indoor air quality energy consumption. Energy and Buildings 212(1):109782
- 385 21. Kapoor NR, Kumar A, et a¹ 2021) A System tic Review on Indoor Environmental Quality in Naturally Ventilated School Classrooms: A W , Forw d. Accurces in Civil Engineering 2021(3):1-19 22. Alonso A, Llanos J, Esca Jón , Sendra J.J (2021) Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Indoor Air Quality and 386
- 387 388
- 22. Intonso 1, Endlos 9, Elsea of 9, Solid 435 (2021) Effects of the COVID 19 Fandemic on Intool 711 Quarty and Thermal Comfort of Dimary, chools in Winter in a mediterranean climate. Sustainability 13(5):2699
 23. Karakas F, Gras e D, e al (20 3) school building energy efficiency and NO2 related risk of childhood asthma in England and V. Jes: M. dolling study. The Science of The Total Environment 901(57):166109 389 390
- 391 Istituto Superiore 1: Janità (2020) Indicazioni sugli impianti di ventilazione/climatizzazione in strutture comunitarie 24. 392 non sap' arie in a bienti domestici in relazione alla diffusione del virus SARS-CoV-2. Rapporto ISS COVID-19 393 n.33
- 394 misani J, de Gennaro G (2021) CO₂ concentration monitoring inside educational buildings as a strategic 25. Di Gih 395 tool to receive the risk of Sars-CoV-2 airborne transmission. Environmental Research 202:111560

