# <sup>1</sup>**Indoor environmental quality in an Apulian**  <sup>2</sup>**kindergarten**

#### 4 Elena Crespino<sup>1\*</sup>, Ludovica Maria Campagna<sup>2</sup>, Francesco Carlucci<sup>2</sup>, Francesco Martellotta<sup>1</sup>, Francesco Fiorito<sup>2</sup>.

5 1 - ArCoD, Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy.

6 2 - DICATECh, Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy.<br>  $\begin{array}{c} \n\text{7} \\
\text{7}\n\end{array}$  + e.crespinol (a)phd.poliba.it

7 \* - e.crespino1@phd.poliba.it

# 9 **Abstract**

3

8

27

30

10 Indoor environmental quality (IEQ) in school buildings is crucial for the health and 11 well-being of students, educators, and staff. Poor air quality and inadequate thermal 12 conditions compromise students' comfort and can lead to potential long-term health 13 issues. Since children's comfort differs from adults', it is important to consider surveys<br>14 on IEO in school buildings. For this reason, this paper focuses on air quality and 14 on IEQ in school buildings. For this reason, this paper focuses on air quality and thermal comfort in kindergartens in Italy. An IEQ monitoring campaign as 15 thermal comfort in kindergartens in Italy. An IEQ monitoring campaign as<br>16 conducted within a kindergarten, with data used for thermal comfort and IAO at ayses. conducted within a kindergarten, with data used for thermal comfort and IAQ  $a^r$  ayses, 17 including CO<sub>2</sub> levels generated by occupants and thermal discomforting hours. The 18 simulations of carbon dioxide levels showed that the amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  accumulated 19 the classrooms exceeds the threshold recommended by ASHRAE guidelines. During the winter seasons,  $CO_2$  levels are significantly higher than the season and leader and leader in the seasons. the winter seasons,  $CO_2$  levels are significantly higher than those accumulated in 21 summer due to the limited ventilation practiced during the colder onths. More ver,<br>22 thermal comfort analyses indicate that the summer season can be postular due to 22 thermal comfort analyses indicate that the summer season can be problematic due to<br>23 overheating: 42% of the occupied hours during the monitor period exceeded the 23 overheating: 42% of the occupied hours during the monitoring period exceeded the temperature threshold, causing thermal discomfort for the occupied of the winter 24 temperature threshold, causing thermal discomfort for the occupants. The winter thermal comfort analyses demonstrated that heating is stems are essential to maintain thermal comfort analyses demonstrated that heating systems are essential to maintain

26 temperatures within comfort thresholds.

**28 Keywords**: School buildings, indoor environmental quality, ventilation strategies, carbon dioxide levels, sustainable design design

# 31 **1.Introduction**

32 School buildings serve a social and educational purpose as centres for learning and development, carrying the 33 liability of ensuring a set and comportable environment for students, educators, and staff. However, the COVID-19 pandement of has losed structural engineers to these principles [1]. The implementation of restrictive COVID-19 pandemic has losed significant challenges to these principles [1]. The implementation of restrictive 35 measures, the necessity of social distancing, and an increased understanding of the risks posed by viruses have  $36$  underscored ssues in the design of indoor spaces [2].

37 In recent cars,  $\frac{1}{2}$  owing concerns about the health impacts of poor indoor air quality (IAQ) have highlighted 38 the need for the ter ventilation and regular air quality monitoring, as high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels can impair cognitive 39 function and verease student absenteeism [3]. Alongside IAQ, research underscores the equal importance of 40 flow the result of the res 40 thermal comfort for occupant well-being and performance. Ensuring optimal IEQ in educational settings is often<br>41 change of due to outdated building designs, poor insulation, and inefficient HVAC systems [4]. These cha nging due to outdated building designs, poor insulation, and inefficient HVAC systems [4]. These 42 challer es are even more pronounced in Mediterranean climates, where school buildings are typically ventilated 43 naturally without cooling systems [5]. During the summer months, indoor climate control depends only on 44 natural ventilation; however, when outdoor temperatures are excessively high, this approach can cause thermal 45 discomfort within classrooms. Although natural ventilation in the warm months effectively maintains  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ 46 levels below threshold limits, it frequently results in thermal discomfort. Conversely, natural ventilation is 47 minimized during winter to retain the heat generated by heating systems [5]. Consequently, while thermal 48 comfort is preserved,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels tend to be significantly higher than in summer [5]. These issues are particularly 49 relevant in Italy, where many school buildings are outdated, overcrowded, and inadequately ventilated, further 50 complicating efforts to create environments conducive to learning and occupants' comfort [6]. In a specific way, 51 kindergartens are emblematic buildings for managing IEQ because they host preschool-aged children who are These Crossines", Ladovica Nario Crossines" interactions and interactions are able to the state of the s Pesaro court registration number 3/2015

52 particularly sensitive to environmental factors [3]. Children have a different thermoregulation capacity than<br>53 adults: their body surface area is larger in proportion to their weight, causing them to lose heat more qu adults: their body surface area is larger in proportion to their weight, causing them to lose heat more quickly 54 and making them more susceptible to temperature fluctuations. Their respiratory systems are still developing,<br>55 so prolonged exposure to poor air quality can negatively affect their growth and development [7]. Moreover 55 so prolonged exposure to poor air quality can negatively affect their growth and development [7]. Moreover, 56 the nature of preschool activities, such as group play, increases their exposure to pathogens, including viruses 57 [8]. Therefore, kindergartens represent a significant challenge in terms of ensuring high IEQ. Acknowle [8]. Therefore, kindergartens represent a significant challenge in terms of ensuring high IEQ. Acknowledging 58 the issues underscored by the pandemic, it becomes imperative to introduce new design strategies for buildings 59 that ensure healthful, safe environments, offering both optimal air quality and proper thermal comfort  $\frac{11}{10}$ . To develop effective new design guidelines, the first action should be a detailed examination of the c develop effective new design guidelines, the first action should be a detailed examination of the current 61 conditions of school facilities to identify critical areas for enhancement. In this context, the research present 62 in this article focuses on evaluating the indoor environmental quality of a naturally – ventilated indergarten in 63 Bari, Southern Italy. By aligning with the current state of the art, this research aims to assess air quality nd 64 thermal comfort in a preschool building, analyse the effectiveness of natural ventilation strategies, and provide 65 recommendations for improving IEQ in similar settings. The paper is divided into several sections. the initial 66 section explains the methodology applied in the study, along with a description of the school used as the 67 monitoring sample; the following section presents the analysis results; the last section discusses the outcomes 68 and their implications.

# 69 **2. Methods and materials**

70 The methodology of this study is structured into four key phases first, data was gathered through a real-time

71 monitoring campaign conducted in two classrooms of the selected kindergarten. Following this, the collected

72 data were analysed. The third phase involved developing an energy switch  $\epsilon$  based model of the kindergarten,

73 which was subsequently calibrated in accordance with the ASI RAE  $4:2$  14 standard. Finally, simulations of 74 accumulated  $CO_2$  levels were performed during the occupied hours in the monitored classrooms, and the thermal

75 comfort was evaluated using the Daily Discomfort Hours ( $\Box$   $\Box$ H) netric.

76 The following sections provide a more detailed explanation of each phase of the methodology.

# 77 *2.1 The monitoring campaign*

78 The school building selected for the monoing campaign is the John Fitzgerald Kennedy kindergarten (Viale<br>79 Kennedy 46, Bari BA - 40°45'36", 7 ° 59'? The racility operates from 8:00 am to 1:30 pm and is open from  $^{\circ}$ 59'2. The facility operates from 8:00 am to 1:30 pm and is open from

80 September to June.

81 The school, constructed in  $1^{\circ}$   $\angle$ , is a single-story building with a compact design and includes a basement level 82 used as a technical room. I has a surface-to-volume ratio (S/V) of 0.33. The building envelope consists of brick 83 with an air gap but lacks thermal insulation, as confirmed by the Apulian Regional Portal for School Buildings 84 (ARES) [9]. The interior and exterior are both finished with plaster, while the floors are made of concrete slabs 85 with a ceramic til finish. According to the ARES portal, the envelope includes metal frames for windows and 86 French doors, which are reported as requiring replacement. The monitoring campaign involved measuring 87 indoor and out or mperatures and relative humidity (RH) using three EL USB DATA LOGGERS 88 (designated in this study as sensors A, B, and C). Data collection occurred over 15 days during the spring-89 summer season in two occupied classrooms: classroom A was monitored from June 13, 2023, to June 27, 2023, 90 and classroom B from May 23, 2023, to June 6, 2023. The classrooms have metal fixtures (doors and glass 91 doors) with single glazing and roller shutters, and the ARES portal has indicated that a complete replacement<br>92 is no essary. Classroom A has a 40 m<sup>2</sup> surface area, 118 m<sup>3</sup> of volume, hosts 20 children, and is orien is necessary. Classroom A has a 40 m<sup>2</sup> surface area, 118 m<sup>3</sup> of volume, hosts 20 children, and is oriented to the  $95$  South-East, while Classroom B has a 44 m<sup>2</sup> surface area, 133 m<sup>3</sup>, hosts 22 children, and is oriented to the South-94 West. An the IEQ analyses presented in this paper refer to Classroom A, as it is representative of the building. ditionally, among the two monitored classrooms, Classroom A has the most challenging orientation for 96 overheating, being south-east facing, and is the one most exposed to solar radiation during occupancy hours. 20.1 (a) Lieszteries konstant elektronik ele

97 The sensors were installed at key locations within the classrooms (Fig.1), each placed at a consistent height of 98 2 meters above the floor. Sensors A and C were placed respectively outside and inside the windows most 99 frequently opened by educators during occupancy hours for ventilation. Sensor B was located on the opposite 100 side to sensors A and C. The sensors were programmed to record IAQ parameters at 2-minute intervals, and 101 during the analysis phase, the data was averaged into 20-minute segments.



Figure 1 – Positioning of the sensors in the monitored classrooms.

102

103 The comparison of temperature readings from sensors B and C allowed the determination of the temperature<br>104 fluctuations that the classrooms experienced during the monitoring period. Sensor A and the soil C data were fluctuations that the classrooms experienced during the monitoring period. Sensor A and sensor C data were 105 analysed to assess the ventilation strategies employed in the classrooms during school of the Occupied days 106 were consolidated into a single standard occupancy model, referred to as  $O\sqrt{D}$ , which represents the actual 107 classroom usage conditions for that season. Likewise, temperature reading from noccupied days were merged<br>108 into a standard model known as N-OC Day, representing an unoccupied construction

into a standard model known as *N-OC Day*, representing an unoccupied classroom.

# 109 **2.1 The school building energy model and indoor temperature trands**

110 The school's geometric model was created using Autodesk Revit software. Once the 3D model was created in 111 Revit, it was transformed into an analytical and energy model, then exported to the energy simulation software<br>112 Design Builder. The energy model in Design Builder save lemented with Ventilation, Occupation, and Design Builder. The energy model in Design Builder was supplemented with Ventilation, Occupation, and 113 Lighting Schedules, with the heating and cooling system turned of F. Cooling systems were excluded from the 114 analysis as they were not present in the building. Heating systems were also omitted to better assess the 115 building's passive thermal behaviour without he aid of mechanical systems. To proceed with the calibration of 116 the energy model, a current climatic file was generated using the actual weather data measured from the 117 monitoring stations, specifically from station 467 (Bari Osservatorio) [10], to be used as boundary conditions<br>118 for the simulations [11]. The calibration process we conducted manually, following the guidelines of AS for the simulations [11]. The calibration process was conducted manually, following the guidelines of ASHRAE 119 14:2014, ensuring the NMSE and CRMSE indices were within the acceptable ranges for hourly calibration,<br>120 with the NMBE within  $\pm 10\%$  and the CVRMS below 30%. with the NMBE within  $\pm 10\%$  and the CVRMS below 30%. 11.31 Conserver and conserver and the second in the second interest in the second i

121 After constructing the energy model, is not analyses were carried out on the calibrated energy model. 122 Ventilation Schedules were tal ashed and applied to the energy model according to the ventilation hypotheses 123 *Hp.1*, *Hp.2*, and *Hp.3* effine in paragraph 2.4. Simulations were performed for each ventilation hypothesis 124 to assess the indoce temperature and of classroom A under the different ventilation strategies. The *Hp.1* and 125 *Hp.2* ventilation simulation were performed during classroom A's monitoring period (from 13/06/2023 to 126 27/06/2023); Hp. simulation was carried out over a sample period of winter conditions (from 23/02/2023 127 to  $6/03/20$  3). D ta generated from the simulations were aggregated into a single standard model for each 128 ventilation hypothesis, averaged hourly across all days, and then compared with the external dry bulb 129 temperature a using the same energy model.

130 **2.** *2The mal Comfort analysis* 

131 Dang Comfort Hours (DDH) [12] were adopted to assess thermal comfort during summer and winter. The imulations were conducted from  $13/06/23$  to  $27/06/23$  for the summer period and from  $23/02/23$  to  $9/03/23$  for 133 the winter period, adopting the *International Weather for Energy Calculations 2* (IWEC2) weather file for Bari 134 as boundary condition [13]. The DDH index was used to quantify the number of hours in a day when the Indoor 135 Operative Temperature ( $T_{o,in}$ ) surpassed a defined thermal comfort limit ( $T_c$ ): with this logic, it quantified the 136 intensity and duration of thermal discomfort. DDH was calculated according to the below equation (1): 137

138 139

$$
DDH = \sum_{i=1}^{24} (T_{o,in} - T_c) \quad \Box \tag{1}
$$

140 where,  $T_{o,in}$  [°C], was obtained from energy simulations, while  $T_c$  [°C], the daily temperature limit, was 141 calculated according to the EN 16798-1 standard for comfort Class I. During summer, *discomfort hours* occur 142 when T<sub>o,in</sub> exceeds the upper threshold limits; during winter, *discomfort hours* occur when T<sub>o,in</sub> is below the 143 lower limit [14].

#### 144 *2.4 Estimation of the carbon dioxide levels*

145 Assessing indoor air quality requires careful consideration of the carbon dioxide levels within the confined area. 146 Carbon dioxide acts as a proxy for air quality, and in the absence of precise monitoring to  $\mathbf{I}_s$ , it levels can be 147 estimated using the following equation (2) [15]:

148

$$
C(t) = C_{ext} + \frac{G \times 10^6}{\frac{AGH \times V}{3600}} - \left(C_{ext} - C_0 - \frac{G \times 10^6}{\frac{AGH \times V}{3600}}\right) e^{\frac{-AGH}{3600}}
$$

 $149$ 150

159

151 where,  $C_{ext}$  ([ppm]) is the external carbon dioxide level,  $C_0$  ([ppm]) is the threshold  $CO_2$  concentration for 152 classrooms in this study, G ( $[m^3/s]$ ) represents the estimated CO<sub>2</sub> production rate (which varies by age, sex, and 153 physical activity) [16], V ( $[m^3]$ ) is the volume of the classroom, CH  $(F, \cdot)$ ) indicates the air changes per hour, 154 and t ([s]) denotes time. C(t) indicates the level of carbon  $\cos \theta$  resent in the confined environment at a 155 specific time t. These parameters were applied in simulating carbon dioxide levels: ACH of 2.5 h<sup>-1</sup>, C<sub>ext</sub> = 450 156 ppm [17],  $C_0 = 1000$  ppm [16],  $V = 118$  m<sup>3</sup>,  $G = 0.0029$  I/s. This value was derived by averaging the CO<sub>2</sub> 157 production of a male child aged 3 to 6 years, which is  $0.00300$  l/s, with that of a female child of the same age, 158 which is 0.0028 l/s [16].



Figure  $\rightarrow$  Ventilation strategies implemented in this case study, *Hp.1*, *Hp.2* and *Hp.3.* 

160

161 Different ventual only *potheses, <i>Hp.1, Hp.2,* and *Hp.3,* were considered for estimating CO<sub>2</sub> levels (Fig.2). *Hp.1* 162 and *Hp.2* ven lation strategies mirror typical summer ventilation practices, where the environment is 163 continuously ventilated during classroom occupancy. Under *Hp.1*, windows are opened once the children have 164 arrived, while in *Hp.2*, they enter classrooms that have already been ventilated. *Hp.3* represents a winter-specific 165 ventilation strategy characterized by short, intermittent air exchanges throughout the classroom occupancy 166 period. In this scenario, windows are partially opened for 10-minute intervals (from 09:16 am to 09:26 am, from 167 10:16 am to 10:26 am, from 11:16 am to 11.26 am, from 1:16 pm to 1:30 pm) [18]. CO2 level simulations were 168 repeated using a hypothetical classroom volume, *Vol.2*, which is larger than the actual volume of classroom A, 169 to assess the impact of room size on  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels. Vol.2 is set at 170 m<sup>3</sup>, representing a 44% increase in the 170 volume of Classroom A.

#### 171 **3. Results**

172 The results of this study are outlined in this section, and they refer to the monitoring and analyses related to 173 Classroom A, as it was previously indicated to be representative of the case study. The first part pr Classroom A, as it was previously indicated to be representative of the case study. The first part provides a 174 summary of the kindergarten monitoring campaign results. The second part shows the findings from the calibration of the energy model. The third section presents the results from the thermal comfort analyses, while calibration of the energy model. The third section presents the results from the thermal comfort analyses, while 176 the final part focuses on the outcomes of the indoor air quality evaluation, with the results of the carbon dioxide

177 levels simulations.

# 178 *3.1 Results from the monitoring campaign: ΔT, relative humidity, and users' behaviour*

179 As previously noted in the Methodology section, the data gathered from the monitoring campaign were always

180 to assess the current condition of the kindergarten. This paragraph presents the results related to the temperature deltas measured in Classroom A by the data loggers and the humidity levels the room was subseted to du deltas measured in Classroom A by the data loggers and the humidity levels the room was subjected to during 182 the monitoring period. The data loggers' temperature data analysis is also discussed, providing individuals into the 183 users' behaviour regarding ventilation, specifically when and how ventilation was practiced during the

184 classroom's occupancy hours.<br>185 Fig.3a) shows the temperature Fig.3a) shows the temperature differences, or deltas, recorded by sensors C and B in two monitored 186 classrooms during the experimental period. It is assumed that the negative  $\Delta^T$  values are caused by air leaks 187 through the fixtures, especially noted during the night. The presence of outdated finite es, which require 188 complete replacement as noted by the authority on the ARES portal, supports this hypothesis. The analysis results of the relative humidity data are shown in Fig.3b). The graph Splate discontinuous curve, indicating 189 results of the relative humidity data are shown in Fig.3b). The graph splay discontinuous curve, indicating 190 a peak RH of 74% and a minimum value of 44.5%. The average relative humidity was approximately 61%, 191 slightly above the health and safety regulations' recommended limit for maintaining healthy school 192 environments. The recommended range for RH is 40-60%, as indicated by the green area in the graph (Fig.3b) 193 [19].

194



Figure 3 – a) Temperature differences recorded between sensors A and C, indicative of the temperature deltas to which Classroom A was subjected during the monitoring period, and b) Relative Humidity (RH) levels measured inside Classroom A.

195

196 Based on the temperature analysis from sensors A and C, standard ventilation models were developed for both 197 the day w<sup>i</sup> a occapancy (*OC Day*) and the day without occupancy (*N-OC Day*). These models allowed us to 198 understand that during the monitoring period, the users maintained constant ventilation during the classroom's 199 occupied day, the indoor temperature variations for both daily models are depicted in Fig.4. In the early part of the occupied day, the indoor temperature trend in the classroom is lower than that of the outdoor temper 200 occupied day, the indoor temperature trend in the classroom is lower than that of the outdoor temperature.<br>200 L ring occupancy hours, this pattern reverses: as the windows are opened, the classroom temperature increas  $\Delta$  ring occupancy hours, this pattern reverses: as the windows are opened, the classroom temperature increases 202 due to the external thermal load heating the space. The *N-OC Day* ventilation model shows a similar trend 203 between external and indoor temperatures, but indoor temperatures do not increase as they do in the occupied 204 scenario because the classroom remains unoccupied, and ventilation is not carried out.

205





days with occupancy (*OC Day*) and b) days without occupancy (*N-OC Day*).

# 206 3.2 Calibration of the school building's energy model and indoor tempera.... *trend*

207 The calibration results of the energy model, as shown in Figure 5, compare simulated temperature data with 208 measured ones on days when the building is occupied (Fig.5a) and unoce in (Fig. 5b). The indices defined 209 by the ASHRAE Guideline 14:2014 [11] comply with the standard, as they fall within the established ranges 210 for both occupied and unoccupied days (Tab. 1).

211

212 Table 1 - Calibration index results defined by the ASHRAE 14:2014 Fuidelines for energy models that use hourly data.

Standard Day Model	<i>NMBE</i>	(RMSE)	$\mathcal{M}_{d\lambda}$ mum percentage error	Maximum ∆T
IC Dav	$0.23\%$	$-27\%$	18%	0.5 °C
N-OC- Dav	$0.46\%$		$33\%$	

213 214



Figure 5 – Comparison between the monitored and simulated temperatures using the energy model for both occupied and unoccupied days.

215<br>216

The simulations of indoor temperature trends in classroom A resulted in different curves for each summer 217 ventilation strategies *Hp.1* hypothesis, as described earlier in section 2.4. The results for the summer ventilation strategies *Hp.1* 218 and *Hp.2* are depicted in Fig.6 a. The comparison of the two curves (Fig.6 a) reveals that both ventilation 219 hypotheses produce similar trends. The temperature increases steadily in the morning, then levels off during the 220 day and remains stable thereafter. At 7:00 am, both curves start with temperatures near 20°C. In the *Hp.1* curve, 221 the temperature steadily rises until it peaks shortly after the classroom becomes occupied since the windows are 222 closed when students arrive under this ventilation scenario. When the windows are opened, the temperature<br>223 decreases. The curve under Hp. 2 remains stable throughout the day. The curves differ at the start of the sc 223 decreases. The curve under *Hp.2* remains stable throughout the day. The curves differ at the start of the school 224 day: the *Hp.1* hypothesis shows an increase in the initial phase, whereas the *Hp.2* hypothesis maintains a more 225 consistent trend throughout the day.









240

228 The temperature curve in Figure 6b) corresponds to the trend produced by the winter ventilation hypothesis 229 *Hp.3*. During the morning hours, the temperature steadily increases. The peak temperature occurs around 230 midday, reaching slightly above 20°C. Following the peak, the temperature gradually declines for the rest of the day. the day.

# 232 3.3 Thermal comfort evaluation: Daily Discomfort Hour<sup>s</sup> analy 2s res

233 The thermal comfort analyses of the classroom reveal significant seasonal differences. During the winter (Fig.7), 234 the T<sub>o,in</sub> fluctuates, often exceeding the limits of the thermal component zone indicated in grey. This suggests that 235 the children may have experienced suboptimal cold and indicating a potential need for improved the children may have experienced suboptimal cold conditions, indicating a potential need for improved 236 insulation or better heating management. Out of 360 simulated  $\mu$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , 45% fall within the thermal comfort zone, 237 while the remaining 55% represent hours of discomfort. However, when narrowing the analysis to the 90 hours 238 of actual classroom occupancy, it is observed that 65% of these hours provide comfortable conditions, while 239 35% fall into the discomfort zone.



igure  $7/\mathrm{T_{o,in}}$  trend during the sample winter period. The grey area represents the thermal discomfort zone for cold months (EN-16798).

 241 the summer period (Fig.8), the situation appears even more critical, with the  $T_{\text{o,in}}$  frequently surpassing the 243 the nal comfort zone indicated in red. Discomfort conditions characterized 96% of the total hours. When 244 considering only the hours of actual classroom occupancy, all of them fall within the discomfort zone.

Pesaro court registration number 3/2015



### 245 *3.4 Indoor Air Quality evaluation: results from the CO2 levels simulations*

246 The carbon dioxide simulations in classroom A resulted in varying scenarios. The summer ventilation 247 scenarios, *Hp.1* and *Hp.2*, the carbon dioxide levels generated by  $t^2$  occupants stay constant since they are 248 continuously produced and ventilated out. The distinction between the two ventilation strategies becomes 249 particularly clear during the initial occupancy hours: in the *Hp.* scenario, children arrive gradually in a room with closed windows, which are only opened later, maintaining contract with a result, the with closed windows, which are only opened later, maintaining comant ventilation after that. As a result, the 251 CO<sub>2</sub> concentration curve under the *Hp.1* scenario shows a spike in the early phase. Under the *Hp.2* ventilation 252 strategy, the initial CO<sub>2</sub> spike does not occur because the children arrive in a room that has already been 253 ventilated, allowing the  $CO_2$  produced to be directly expentitival nout accumulation. In each case, the carbon 254 dioxide concentrations exceed the set threshold of 1000 ppm (Fig.  $\lambda$ ). 255





256

257 Using the Hp.3 ventilation strategy, the carbon dioxide level simulation produced an irregular  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  trend. 258 Specifically, the simulated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels are considerably higher than those observed under typical spring and  $259$  summer avironmental conditions (Fig.10).

 $\Gamma$ he simulations of CO<sub>2</sub> levels conducted with the increased volume of Classroom A, *Vol.2*, demonstrate how 261 indoor pollutants accumulate more slowly. As a result, the curves representing these levels in the graphs are 262 lower than those obtained with the original volume. However, despite the slower accumulation,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ 263 concentrations still exceed the established limit of 1000 ppm.



Figure 10 – Comparison between the carbon dioxide levels simulated with all the ventilation hypotheses.

### 264 **4. Discussion**

265 This paper provides a comprehensive overview of the indoor environmental quality at the John Fitzgerald 266 Kennedy kindergarten in Bari. The monitoring campaign in the kindergarter,  $a_1$  ag with the data analysis and 267 the simulation of thermal comfort and indoor air quality, provided interesting insights into the current state of this school building. Analysis of the collected data (Fig. 3a) indicates the  $\mathbf{c}^T$  since the compe 268 this school building. Analysis of the collected data (Fig. 3a) indicates that  $c'$  solves temperature variations 269 hinder the maintenance of a stable indoor temperature. It was hypothesized in this study that the observed 270 negative temperature variations result from air infiltration through windows, particularly at night. The presence 271 of outdated windows, as noted in the ARES portal, supports this hope less.

272 The collected data on relative humidity reveal that, throughout much of the monitoring period, the levels fell 273 short of the standards set by both Italian and international regulations to ensure safe and healthy indoor<br>274 environments (Fig. 3b) [19]. Maintaining proper come love indoor relative humidity levels is crucial, environments (Fig. 3b) [19]. Maintaining proper control over indoor relative humidity levels is crucial, 275 particularly in buildings occupied by fragile users, as is this case.

276 The analysis of the trends in internal and external temperatures suggests that the occupants maintain constant 277 ventilation during the warm season (Fig.4a)  $\Lambda$  his is a common strategy in buildings without cooling systems 278 and under favourable wind conditions (in terms of direction and speed), it can have positive effects on thermal 279 comfort within the classroom [5]. However, a more in-depth comparison between outdoor and indoor temperatures reveals a more complex situation: and periods of occupancy, the indoor temperature of the 280 temperatures reveals a more complex situation: during periods of occupancy, the indoor temperature of the 281 classroom tends to increase not only due to the activities inside but also because outdoor heat infiltrates the 282 environment. As a result, by  $\circ$  ening the wind ws, the classroom quickly reaches thermal equilibrium with the 283 outside, reducing the effectiveness of natural ventilation as a cooling strategy. Instead of lowering the internal 284 temperature, this practice can facilitate the entry of external heat, thereby compromising the potential benefits 285 of natural ventilation aim. ning comfortable indoor climate. However, among the ventilation strategies 286 analysed, some stand out as particularly effective in ensuring a more uniform level of comfort within the 287 classroom. Simulations of the temperature trends under different ventilation strategies have yielded valuable<br>288 insights into  $\frac{1}{2}$  along nermal comfort. The *H<sub>D</sub>*. 2 summer ventilation strategy is particularly insights into  $\sim$  toor nermal comfort. The *Hp.2* summer ventilation strategy is particularly effective in 289 maintaining consistent indoor temperatures throughout the day (Fig. 6 a), indicating that a well-managed 290 ventilation approach can prevent potential classroom overheating during summer and enhance internal thermal 291 comfort. In scenario, the windows are opened before the occupants arrive, allowing for a pre-cooling effect 292 that contributes to the overall stability of indoor temperatures. The *Hp.1* ventilation strategy results in more 293 significant temperature fluctuations early in the school day; without ventilation upon the arrival of users,<br>294 temperatures increase due to passive overheating caused by the influx of occupants and rising external 294 temperatures increase due to passive overheating caused by the influx of occupants and rising external<br>295 temperatures. Except for this early difference, the two curves reflect similar thermal behaviour in space, as b **295 temperatures.** Except for this early difference, the two curves reflect similar thermal behaviour in space, as both ssume a constant ventilation regime. 20.30 minimizes the material of the material

29<sup>7</sup> The curve for the winter temperature trend under *Hp.3* shows a distinct pattern compared to the summer models 298 (Fig.6 b). The simulated rise in temperature during classroom occupancy hours is attributed to passive heating 299 from solar gain and occupants' activity, leading to heat buildup in the absence of natural ventilation. This pattern 300 is adjusted by brief ventilation periods that result in minor heat loss; nevertheless, in the *Hp.3* regime, these 301 intervals are insufficient to properly dilute indoor pollutants or remove the carbon dioxide generated by<br>302 occupants, as illustrated in the CO<sub>2</sub> simulation analysis. This finding highlights the need for scheduled n  $30$  occupants, as illustrated in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  simulation analysis. This finding highlights the need for scheduled natural 303 ventilation that balances air quality and heat retention, particularly during the colder months, to provide

# Pesaro court registration number 3/2015

304 comfortable indoor conditions [20].<br>305 The analysis of thermal comfort dur The analysis of thermal comfort during the summer period reveals that relying solely on natural ventilation is 306 insufficient to prevent thermal discomfort (Fig.7). Although classrooms with natural ventilation have lower  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  levels than those with mechanical ventilation, they tend to experience higher temperatures [21]. T 307 levels than those with mechanical ventilation, they tend to experience higher temperatures [21]. The south-east 308 exposure exacerbates this issue, leading to all occupied hours falling within the thermal discomfort zone. This<br>309 critical situation necessitates targeted interventions to improve summer conditions, such as implement critical situation necessitates targeted interventions to improve summer conditions, such as implementing 310 cooling strategies and solar shading [23]. While the south-east exposure is less problematic in winter and 311 generally allows for greater thermal comfort compared to summer (Fig.8), maintaining optimal conditions for the children still requires a reliable heating system. The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  level simulations highlight the necessi the children still requires a reliable heating system. The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  level simulations highlight the necessity of effective 313 ventilation strategies to maintain  $CO_2$  concentrations below the threshold. Between the two summer vertilation 314 strategies, *Hp.1* and *Hp.2*, the latter was more effective in lowering carbon dioxide levels, oving to the pre-315 ventilation of the space before occupants enter (Fig.9). By avoiding  $CO_2$  concentration spike, this strategy 316 ensures a well-ventilated and clean environment. On the other hand, the *Hp.3* winter ventilation strate g is 317 typically used during the colder months when the heating system is operational. During the winter, ventilation 318 is minimized to avoid heat loss and energy waste from open windows, leading to a notable decline in indoor air quality [5]. The simulations using the third ventilation strategy demonstrate how we need to a normalizati quality [5]. The simulations using the third ventilation strategy demonstrate how winter  $\mathcal{L}_2$  cumulation can 320 surpass the acceptable threshold (Fig.10). Therefore, it is essential to implement an effective natural ventilation 321 strategy to limit indoor pollutants produced by occupants [20]. In crowded space, the COVID-19 Report No. 322 33 from the Italian National Institute of Health advises that ventilation stems be activated before occupants 323 enter. This approach can be integrated into naturally ventilated buildings, all thing occupants to arrive in a pre-324 ventilated space, thereby improving pollutant removal, as shown  $\frac{1}{2}$  the CO<sub>2</sub> simulations [24]. The volume of 325 the enclosed space is another critical factor in indoor air quality halysis. Environments with smaller volumes are observed to reach saturation faster compared to larger one. [25] while the carbon dioxide simulations w are observed to reach saturation faster compared to larger one  $\left[25\right]$ . while the carbon dioxide simulations with 327 the increased classroom volume (*Vol.2*) show that even with a larger space, CO<sub>2</sub> levels still exceed 1000 ppm,  $328$  this approach can nonetheless contribute to improving in quality. 20.1 actual statistical activistical ac

#### 329 **5. Conclusion**

330 This study identified critical issues in Italian school buildings that negatively affect occupant comfort, 331 emphasizing the need for energy retrofitting and instance replacement to improve indoor environmental quality. 332 The research highlighted that season ay adapted ventilation strategies are crucial to enhancing both thermal 333 comfort and air quality. Finally, the tudy instrated the importance of comprehensive monitoring and data 334 analysis in developing efficient management programs and ventilation systems that optimize building 335 performance and occupant  $w_A$ -being.

#### 336 **6. Acknowledgments**

337 Thanks to the John Fitzgerald Kennedy Kindergarten, located at Viale John Fitzgerald Kennedy, 46 Bari (BA), 338 for hosting the monitoring campaign.

# 339 **7. Aut' or Contributions**

340 E.C. contributed to the writing of the manuscript, the creation of the figures, and data analysis. L.M.C. and F.C. 341 We responsibly for reviewing the manuscript. F.M. and F.F. and coordinated work.  $\mathbf{W}$  is responsible for reviewing the manuscript. F.M. and F.F. and coordinated work.

### 342 **8. Funding**

343 This research received no specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit 344 sectors. However, Elena Crespino receives the support of her PhD scholarship.

#### 345 **9. References**

- 346 1. Taheri H, Rider T (2022) A review on architectural guidelines to safety reopen buildings in light of COVID 19 in 347 the United States: establishing future research opportunities. Architectural Science Review. 65(6):1-15
- 348 2. ISTAT. Report (2020, 2021) L'effetto della pandemia sui Servizi educativi per l'infanzia in Italia; Indagine

**TEMA: Technologies, Engineering, Materials and Architecture**

Pesaro court registration number 3/2015

349 straordinaria sui Servizi educativi per l'infanzia; Dipartimento per le politiche della famiglia, Istat, Università<br>350 Ca'Foscari Venezia, Governance & Social Innovation. 350 Ca'Foscari Venezia, Governance & Social Innovation.<br>351 3. Young BN, Benka-Coker WO, et al (2021) How

- 351 3. Young BN, Benka-Coker WO, et al (2021) How does absenteeism impact the link between school's indoor environmental quality and student performance? Building and Environment 203(1):108053 352 environmental quality and student performance? Building and Environment 203(1):108053<br>353 4. Theodosiou TG, Ordoumpozanis KT (2008) Energy, comfort and indoor air quality in nurse
- 353 4. Theodosiou TG, Ordoumpozanis KT (2008) Energy, comfort and indoor air quality in nursery and elementary school<br>354 buildings in the cold climatic zone of Greece. Energy and Buildings 40(12):2207-2214
- 354 buildings in the cold climatic zone of Greece. Energy and Buildings 40(12):2207-2214<br>355 5. Miao S, Gangolells M, et al (2023) A Comprehensive Assessment of Indoor Air Qua 355 5. Miao S, Gangolells M, et al (2023) A Comprehensive Assessment of Indoor Air Quality and Thermal Comfort in 356 Educational Buildings in the Mediterranean Climate. Indoor Air 2023(1)
- 356 Educational Buildings in the Mediterranean Climate. Indoor Air 2023(1)<br>357 6. Ugolini Chiara B (2019) Qualità dell'Aria negli Edifici Scolastici. Eurac R 357 6. Ugolini Chiara B (2019) Qualità dell'Aria negli Edifici Scolastici. Eurac Research – Istituto per le Energie Rinn.<br>358 7. Chen W, Deng Y, Cao B (2022) An experimental study on the difference in thermal comfort perce
- 358 7. Chen W, Deng Y, Cao B (2022) An experimental study on the difference in thermal comfort perception between<br>359 reschool children and their parents. Journal of Building Engineering 56(2):104723
- 359 preschool children and their parents. Journal of Building Engineering 56(2):104723 360 8. Hassan Abdallah A (2017) Thermal Monitoring and Evaluation of Indoor CO2 Concentration in Classrooms of Two<br>361 Primary Governmental Schools in New Assiut City, Egypt. Procedia Engineering 205:1093-1099 361 Primary Governmental Schools in New Assiut City, Egypt. Procedia Engineering 205:1093-1099 362 9. Regione Puglia (2023) Anagrafe Regionale Edilizia Scolastica.
- 362 9. Regione Puglia (2023) Anagrafe Regionale Edilizia Scolastica. Regione Puglia. 363 https://ediliziascolastica.regione.puglia.it
- 364 10. Mappa GIS POLARIS (protezionecivile.puglia.it)<br>365 11. ASHRAE Guideline 14-2014. Measurement of enc
- 365 11. ASHRAE Guideline 14-2014. Measurement of energy, demand, and water savings. Multican Society of Heating, 366 Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Atlanta (2014). 366 Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Atlanta (2014).<br>367 12. Carlucci, S.; Pagliano, L. A review of indices for the long-tern
- 12. Carlucci, S.; Pagliano, L. A review of indices for the long-term evaluation of the general thermal omfort conditions 368 in buildings. Energy Build. 2012, 53, 194–205. [CrossRef]<br>369 13. ASHRAE IWEC2 Weather Files (whiteboxtechnologies.co
- 369 13. ASHRAE IWEC2 Weather Files (whiteboxtechnologies.com)<br>370 14. EN (2019) Energy performance of buildings. Ventilation for
- 14. EN (2019) Energy performance of buildings. Ventilation for building Indoor environmental input parameters for 371 design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air quality, thermal environment, lighting<br>372 and acoustics. EN 16798-1:2019 372 and acoustics. EN 16798-1:2019<br>373 15. Schibuola L, Tambani C (2020)
- 373 15. Schibuola L, Tambani C (2020) Indoor environmental quality classification of school environments by monitoring PM and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration levels. Atmospheric Pollution Research 11 374 PM and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration levels. Atmospheric Pollution Research 11 and 25 PM and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration levels. Atmospheric Pollution Research 11 and 25 PM an
- 375 16. Persily A, de Jonge L (2017) Carbon dioxide generation rates for uilding cupants. Indoor Air 22(Suppl 1).<br>376 17. ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2004. Ventilation for a table door air quality. American Society of F
- 376 17. ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2004. Ventilation for acceptable indoor air quality. American Society of Heating, 377 Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Atlanta (2004). 377 Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Atlanta (2004).<br>378 18. Andamon M, Rajagopalan P, Woo J (2023) Evaluation of ventil
- 378 18. Andamon M, Rajagopalan P, Woo J (2023) Evaluation of ventilation in Australian school classrooms using long-term<br>379 indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurements. Bu<sup>2</sup> ang and Environment 237(5):110313 379 indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurements. Bui<sup>ld</sup>ing and Environment 237(5):110313<br>380 19. Decreto Ministeriale (1975) Norme tecnic  $\frac{1}{2}$  ago must relative all'edilizia scola
- 19. Decreto Ministeriale (1975) Norme tecnic aggiornative all'edilizia scolastica, ivi compresi gli indici di 381 funzionalità didattica, edilizia ed urbanistica, da osserva si nella esecuzione di opere di edilizia scolastica. Decreto 382 Ministeriale 18 dicembre 1975. 382 Ministeriale 18 dicembre 1975.<br>383 20. Barbosa FC, de Freitas VP, Alm 35.8 S. Mate School Mate is (2022) A composition in which are the fluctuation of the signal method in the signal me
- 383 20. Barbosa FC, de Freitas VP, Almeid M (2020) School building experimental characterization in Mediterranean climate<br>384 regarding comfort, indoor air cuality energy consumption. Energy and Buildings 212(1):109782 384 regarding comfort, indoor air quality and energy consumption. Energy and Buildings 212(1):109782<br>385 21. Kapoor NR, Kumar A, et al. 2021) A System dic Review on Indoor Environmental Quality in Natu
- 385 21. Kapoor NR, Kumar A, et al (2021) A System dic Review on Indoor Environmental Quality in Naturally Ventilated<br>386 School Classrooms: A W Forw d. According Civil Engineering 2021(3):1-19 386 School Classrooms: A W Forward. Advances in Civil Engineering 2021(3):1-19<br>387 22. Alonso A, Llanos J, Esca Jóp , Sendra J.J (2021) Effects of the COVID-19 Par
- 387 22. Alonso A, Llanos J, Escandón C, Sendra J.J (2021) Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Indoor Air Quality and<br>388 Thermal Comfort of Pinary chools of Winter in a mediterranean climate. Sustainability 13(5):2699 388 Thermal Comfort of Primary Schools in Winter in a mediterranean climate. Sustainability 13(5):2699
- 389 23. Karakas F, Grasse D, et al (2023) school building energy efficiency and NO2 related risk of childhood asthma in 390 England and V  $\sqrt{4}$  les: M  $\sqrt{4}$  alling study. The Science of The Total Environment 901(57):166109
- 391 24. Istituto Superiore di Sanità (2020) Indicazioni sugli impianti di ventilazione/climatizzazione in strutture comunitarie<br>392 000 non san varie in all'ujenti domestici in relazione alla diffusione del virus SARS-CoV 392 non sanitarie in ambienti domestici in relazione alla diffusione del virus SARS-CoV-2. Rapporto ISS COVID-19<br>393 n.33
- 393 n.33<br>394 25. Di G 394 25. Di Gin. A, Palmisani J, de Gennaro G (2021) CO<sub>2</sub> concentration monitoring inside educational buildings as a strategic tool to recenterisk of Sars-CoV-2 airborne transmission. Environmental Research 202:111560 tool to reduce the risk of Sars-CoV-2 airborne transmission. Environmental Research 202:111560

